## **Delegated Decision Notice (DDN)**

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

| Decision type          | ☐ Key Decision   |               | nt                             | ☐ Administrative      |  |
|------------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
|                        |  | Operational [ | Decision                       | Decision              |  |
| Approximate            | ☐ Below £500,000   | ⊠ below £25   | ,000                           | ☐ below £25,000       |  |
| value                  | £500,000 to £1,000,000   | ☐ £25,000 to  | £100,000                       | ☐ £25,000 to £100,000 |  |
|                        | over £1,000,000  | ☐ £100,000 t  | to £500,000                    |                       |  |
|                        |  | Over £500     | ,000                           |                       |  |
| Director <sup>1</sup>  | Chief Planning Officer   |               |                                |                       |  |
| Contact person:        | Thomas Readman   |               | Telephone number: 07795 223803 |                       |  |
|                        | Tree Officer   | icer          |                                |                       |  |
| Subject <sup>2</sup> : | CITY OF LEEDS TREE PRESERVATION ORDER (NO.30) 2023   |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | TPO 2023 30 (RED KITE LEARNING TRUST CRAWSHAW ACADEMY ROBIN  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | LANE PUDSEY LS28 9HU)  |               |                                |                       |  |
| Decision               | The Chief Planning Officer has agreed the following and that the above Tree  |               |                                |                       |  |
| details <sup>3</sup> : | Preservation Order will be confirmed as per the report of the City Solicitor   |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | (Corporate Governance).  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | a) Having carefully considered the issue raised by the tree owner, on balance  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | it is considered that the Tree Preservation Order is warranted on the  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | grounds of amenity and expediency.   |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | b) That the Order be confirmed, as originally served.  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        |  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | An application to remove trees protected by a tree preservation order (Ref:  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | 23/04242/TR) was received by the Council. The application proposed to remove a   |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | number of trees at Crawshaw Academy, subject to a preservation order (Ref:   |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | TPO1953_001PUD). The application also highlighted that a number of trees that were not subject to the TPO would also be removed. |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        |  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | Some trees to be removed, that did not have protection at time of the application,   |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | were considered to have amenity. A Tree Preservation Order was served in the   |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | interest of public amenity.  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        |  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision   |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        | maker at the time of making the decision:  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        |  |               |                                |                       |  |
|                        |  |               |                                |                       |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

used in the list <sup>3</sup> Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

|                            | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|                            | IVA   |  |  |  |  |
|                            |   |  |  |  |  |
|                            |   |  |  |  |  |
| Affected wards:            | Pudsey  |  |  |  |  |
|                            |   |  |  |  |  |
| Details of                 | Executive Member  |  |  |  |  |
| consultation               | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| undertaken4:               | Ward Councillors  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Chief Digital and Information Officer <sup>5</sup>  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer <sup>6</sup>  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Others  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| Implementation             | Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation   |  |  |  |  |
|                            | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| List of                    | Date Added to List:- N/A  |  |  |  |  |
| Forthcoming                | If Special Urganov or Concret Evention a brief statement of the reason why it is  |  |  |  |  |
| Key Decisions <sup>7</sup> | If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision               |  |  |  |  |
|                            |   |  |  |  |  |
| Publication of             | No Special Urgency or General Exception applies  If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason |  |  |  |  |
| report <sup>8</sup>        | why not possible:   |  |  |  |  |
|                            | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
|                            | If published late relevant Executive member's approval  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | Signature Date  |  |  |  |  |
| Call-in                    | Is the decision available Yes   |  |  |  |  |
|                            | for call-in?  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the   |  |  |  |  |
|                            | council or the public:  |  |  |  |  |
|                            | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| Approval of                | Authorised decision maker <sup>10</sup>   |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>                   | <u> </u>  |  |  |  |  |

<sup>4</sup> Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of digital technology
<sup>6</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's

land and buildings.

 <sup>7</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only
 8 See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only
 9 See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call-in. Key decisions are always available for call-in unless they have been exempted from call-in under rule 5.1.3. <sup>10</sup> Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.

| Decision | David Feeney - Chief Planning Officer |                  |  |  |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
|          | Signature                             | Date             |  |  |
|          | David Feeney                          | 14 February 2024 |  |  |